Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/623,201	Applicant(s) NEUMANN, RUDOLF
	Examiner Justin Krause	Art Unit 3682	

All Participants:

(1) *Justin Krause*.

(2) *Jason Marin (6/19/06.*

Status of Application: *Allowance*

(3) *Anna Vishev (6/20/06.*

(4) *Tom Hannon (Primary Examiner).*

Date of Interview: *20 June 2006*   **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
   If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1, 5, 7, 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Call was made on June 19, 2006 to Jason Marin to propose amendments to place the application in condition for allowance. Proposal was faxed to Mr. Marin. Anna Vishev called on June 20, 2006 to accept proposed amendments. See Examiners amendment for further detail..